

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/076,370 | 02/19/2002 | Marc Alizon | 2356-0011-10 | 2811 |
| 22852 | 7590 01/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | , HENDERSON, FAR | PARKIN, JEFFREY S | | |
| LLP 901 NEW YO | RK AVENUE, NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ON, DC 20001-4413 | | 1648 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/076,370 | ALIZON ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alt Ollic | |

| Dolore and thing of any property | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 October, 2005, FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>06</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | infin compliance with 27 OFD 44 2 | 7 b - 61- d 41-t- | t | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 October 2005</u>. A bithe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | ecause · | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-28 and 31-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>40-50</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(S). | | | | |
| 2 Salon <u>555 Committation Ontotal</u> | | | | | | |

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1648 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' response and attendant exhibits have not been considered because applicants failed to provide a good and sufficient showing as to why the declaration and evidence was necessary and not earlier presented.

Continuation of 13. Other: The claims stand rejected for the reasons of record clearly set forth in the final rejection mailed 22 April, 2005.